

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
)
COUNTY OF CHARLESTON)

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
NINTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
CASE NO.: 2018-CP-10-2890

DEIDRE GIGLIO,)
)
Plaintiff,)

vs.)

RONALD NUNN, a/k/a "RONALD)
OCHRYMOWICH" and MELISSA,)
a/k/a "MISSY" OCHRYMOWICH,)
)
Defendants.)

**ANSWER OF DEFENDANT RONALD
NUNN aka RONALD OCHRYMOWICH**

2018 JUL 24 AM 11:23
JULIE J. ARMS, CLERK
CLERK OF COURT
BY SB

FILED

NOW COMES THE DEFENDANT, RONALD NUNN, a/k/a RONALD OCHRYMOWICH (hereinafter referred to as "DEFENDANT") who, by way of Answer responds to the allegations of the Complaint as follows:

1. Defendant lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint and denies the same.
2. Admitted.
3. Admitted.
4. The allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint are legal conclusions as to which no response is required.
5. The allegations contained in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint are legal conclusions as to which no response is required.
6. Admitted.
7. Defendant admits only so much of the allegations contained in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint as allege he was travelling behind Plaintiff and the remaining allegations of Paragraph 7 of the Complaint are denied.

8. Defendant admits only so much of the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 of the Complaint as allege he has one leg and the remaining allegations of Paragraph 8 of the Complaint are denied.

9. Defendant admits only so much of the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 of the Complaint that allege Defendant collided with Plaintiff's vehicle and the remaining allegations of Paragraph 9 of the Complaint are denied.

10. Defendant admits only so much of the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 of the Complaint as allege his driver's license was suspended (which had nothing to do with the cause of the accident) and the remaining allegations of Paragraph 10 of the Complaint are denied.

11. Defendant admits only so much of the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 of the Complaint as allege his driver's license was suspended (which had nothing to do with the cause of the accident) and the remaining allegations of Paragraph 10 of the Complaint are denied.

12. Denied.

13. Denied.

14. Denied.

15. Denied.

16. Denied.

17. Denied.

18. Denied.

19. Denied.


20. Denied.

21. Denied.
22. Denied.
23. Denied.
24. All allegations of the Complaint not heretofore responded to are denied.

WHEREFORE, having fully responded to the Complaint, Defendant prays that the Complaint be dismissed, for a trial by jury, for the costs of this action, and for such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted,

SLAGSVOL LAW FIRM, LLC



Edwin Whitner Slagsvol, Esq.
S.C. Bar No. 102573
whit@slagsvollaw.com
460 King Street, Suite 200
Charleston, South Carolina 29403
T: (843) 804-8502

ATTORNEY FOR THE DEFENDANT

Charleston, South Carolina
This 20th day of July, 2018

July 20, 2018

Sent via FedEx Overnight:


Charleston County Clerk of Court
Attn: Julie J. Armstrong
100 Broad Street
Charleston, SC 29401

**Re: Robald Nunn, a/k/a “Ronald Ochrymowich” and Melissa, a/k/a “Missy” Ochrymowich
CA No.:2018-CP-10-2890**

Dear Ms. Armstrong,

I hope that this letter finds you well. Please find enclosed one (1) signed Answer for the above-named matter, as well as one (1) copy of the signed Answer. At your convenience, please return a stamped copy of the Summons and Complaint in the enclosed stamped return envelope.

Sincerely,



E. Whitner Slagsvol, Esq.
President, Slagsvol Law Firm, LLC.

Enclosures: as stated